



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
 Washington, D.C. 20231

20/031501

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

Best Available Copy

EXAMINER
ART UNIT
PAPER NUMBER

1804 33

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. Halluin (3) _____
 (2) Elizabeth Ziska (4) _____

Date of Interview: 10/3/96 3:10 PM

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: P. 11

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached. NA

Claim(s) discussed: NA Mr. Halluin indicated that John Dale told
 Identification of prior art discussed: NA his secretary Betty Kamensky to fax to
him (Halluin) a copy of the 850 from the

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: memorandum of
interference. I stated that the 850 would not be faxed since the
BPAI mails the 850 at the time the interference is declared. Mr.
Halluin then stated that if I did not fax the 850, that he would call
Steve Kunin. I said that there was no need to threaten me, that it was my
understanding that a copy would come from the BPAI. Mr. Halluin replied that
of record he was entitled to see it - I replied that he would
when the BPAI mailed it to him. He insisted that John Dale had Betty
to fax

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
 Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Suzanne E. Ziska
 SUZANNE E. ZISKA
 PRIMARY EXAMINER
 GROUP 1800